# C-12

## LARGE MAGNETIC INFLUENCE OF INTERFACIAL Fe WITH SiO2 SUBSTRATE IN FeCuPt GRAINS

\*Ren Kobayashi<sup>1</sup>, Masayuki Imazato<sup>1</sup>, Aki Ogasawara<sup>1</sup> and Arata Tsukamoto<sup>2</sup>

We already reported that isolated several tens of nano-meters  $L_{10}$ -FeCuPt and  $L_{10}$ -FePt grains were fabricated by Rapid Thermal Annealing (RTA) and Rapid Cooling Process (RCP)<sup>[1][2][3]</sup>. In this fabrication process, we showed that interface between the SiO<sub>2</sub> substrate and the metallic layer had important role of the isolated FeCuPt grain formations and magnetic properties. Fe nearby interface didn't crystallize for  $L_{10}$ -FeCuPt. Oxidization of the interfacial metallic layer was considerable factor to fabricate  $L_{10}$ -FeCuPt grains.

## 1. Introduction

For high density Thermally Assisted Magnetic Recording (TAMR), we already reported that isolated several tens of nano-meters  $L1_0$ -FeCuPt and  $L1_0$ -FePt grains were fabricated by RTA and RCP for Pt / Cu / Fe and Pt / Fe multilayered ultra-thin films on the SiO<sub>2</sub> substrates. In this fabrication process, we focused that interface between the SiO<sub>2</sub> substrate and the metallic layer might have important role of the grain formations and magnetic properties. Therefore, to investigate the influence of interfacial condition, (a) We executed excimer substrate surface treatment with several irradiation times and fabricated of FeCuPt multilayered films with different sequences. More detail, (b) We deposited Pt / Fe double layers with changing composition of Fe<sub>x</sub>Pt<sub>100-x</sub> (x = 50 to 63.7) on the SiO<sub>2</sub> substrate<sup>[4]</sup>.



### 2. Experimental method

We experimented two methods. As for the layer design of Figure1 (a), for the gradual change of the SiO<sub>2</sub> substrate surface condition, the SiO<sub>2</sub> substrate was irradiated by excimer light with times  $(T_i)$  of 0, 5 and 30 s. After this process, the Fe<sub>43</sub> Cu<sub>14</sub> Pt<sub>43</sub> multilayered films shown in Figure1 (a) were fabricated by DC magnetron sputtering in 0.18 Pa pressure ArH (H<sub>2</sub>: 3 vol. %) gas atmosphere. Total film thickness of all samples were 1.88 nm. These films were annealed by RTA process in the vacuum chamber at  $3.0 \times 10^{-3}$ Pa. The heating up rate was about 90  $\,^\circ C$  / s. Reaching at the maximum temperature of 600 °C, the optical pass was shut out by shutter then N2 gas was introduced for RCP. As for the layer design of Figure1 (b), we deposited Pt / Fe double layers with changing composition of  $Fe_xPt_{100-x}$  (x = 50 to 63.7) on the SiO<sub>2</sub> substrate by DC magnetron sputtering in same as (a) condition. The RTA process was also executed in

Figure1 Layer designs of (a) FeCuPt and

(b) FePt thin films.



Figure 2 SEM images,  $D_a$  and  $N_p$  of the FeCuPt grains made on each condition.

same as (a) condition. The isolated grains were observed by Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM). The magnetic properties were measured by Superconducting Quantum Interference Device - Vibrating Sample Magnetometer (SQUID-VSM).

### 3. Result

3-(a). Interface condition dependence of grain formations and magnetic properties

Figure 2 shows the SEM images, number density of grains ( $N_p$ ) and average grain diameter ( $D_a$ ) in each sample. If the first deposited metallic element was A, we defined the sample name as  $S_A$ . As for the  $N_p$ , the values were  $S_{\text{Fe}} >> S_{\text{Cu}} > S_{\text{Pt}}$ . Furthermore,

substrate surface treatment much affected to increase  $N_p$  in  $S_{\text{Fe}}$  that had active metallic first deposited layer. These results suggest that substrate surface treatment by irradiating excimer light affect oxidization to interfacial metallic layer.  $N_p$  depends on metallic grains newcreation point of oxide. Figure 3 shows magnetic properties in each sample. About  $S_{\text{Fe}}$  and  $S_{\text{Cu}}$ , coercivity ( $H_c$ ) was decreased by excimer light irradiation. On the other hand,  $H_c$  of  $S_{\text{Pt}}$  was almost not changed. It means oxidization of interfacial metallic layer affect crystallization.

3–(b). Composition ratio dependency of magnetic properties

To investigate interfacial influence in more detail, we deposited Pt / Fe double layers with changing composition of Fe<sub>x</sub>Pt<sub>100-x</sub> (x = 50 to 63.7) on the SiO<sub>2</sub> substrate. These double layer films were simpler design than FeCuPt multilayered films. Figure 4 shows magnetic properties on applying magnetic field in each sample. Relations between each composition and  $H_c$  were {x, $H_c$ } = {50,  $\approx$  0 T}, {55, < 0.2 T}, {57, 2.6 T}, {62, 4.2 T} and {63.7, 3.8 T}, respectively. In the case of x = 57, 62 and 63.7, large values of  $H_c$  were appeared. For x = 62, simple hard magnetic hysteresis loop was observed. However, in x = 57 and 63.7, characteristics like soft magnetic properties also were observed below  $\pm 1$  T. These results suggest that Fe nearby interface didn't crystallize for  $L_1$ -FeCuPt.

## 5. Summary

In the RTA process of fabrication FeCuPt and FePt grains, we focused that interface between the SiO<sub>2</sub> substrate and the metallic layer might have important role of the grain formations and magnetic properties. As for the  $N_p$ , the values were the  $S_{\text{Fe}} >> S_{\text{Cu}} > S_{\text{Pt}}$ . Substrate surface treatment much





made on each sample measured by SQUID - VSM at



Figure 4 Magnetization VS Magnetic field of the FePt grains made on each condition measured by SQUID - VSM at R.T.<sup>[4]</sup>.

affected to  $N_p$  and  $H_c$  in  $S_{\text{Fe}}$ . These results suggest that oxidization of interfacial metallic layer affect grain formations and magnetic properties. Furthermore from the relation between x and  $H_c$ ,  $H_c$  couldn't appeared in the case of x < 50. These results suggest that Fe nearby interface didn't crystallize for  $L1_0$ -FeCuPt. Oxidization of the interfacial metallic layer was considerable factor to fabricate  $L1_0$ -FeCuPt grains.

#### Acknowledge

This work is partially supported by Storage Research Consortium.

#### References

[1] A. Itoh, Y. Itoh, A. Tsukamoto and K. Nakagawa, "IEICE technical report. Magnetic recording.", 105 (167),13 (2005)

[2] A. Itoh, A. Tsukamoto, S. Okame and K. Mizusawa, "J. Magn. Soc. Jpn.", 36, 62-65(2012)

[3] A. Itoh, Y. Itoh, K. Nanba, Y. Adachi, M. Motohashi and , A. Tsukamoto, "J. Appl. Phys.", 99, 08Q906, p.p.1-3 (2006)

[4] M. Imazato, A. Ogasawara, and A. Tsukamoto, "Book of Abstract - 20th International Conference on MAGNETISM.", p. 1493 (2015)